

Message Text

UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 01 PARIS 02466 262216Z

70

ACTION EB-07

INFO OCT-01 AF-06 EUR-12 EA-09 NEA-10 ISO-00 IO-11 CCO-00

CIAE-00 OTPE-00 FCC-02 INR-07 NSAE-00 OC-05 USIA-15

COME-00 BIB-01 ACDA-10 DODE-00 PM-04 L-03 NASA-02

NSC-05 OES-05 DOTE-00 FMC-02 CG-00 OFA-01 DLOS-04

MC-02 OIC-02 /126 W

----- 039170

R 262200Z JAN 76

FM AMEMBASSY PARIS

TO SECSTATE WASHDC 7202

INFO AMEMBASSY BONN

AMEMBASSY BRUSSELS

AMEMBASSY LONDON

AMEMBASSY MONROVIA

AMEMBASSY MOSCOW

AMEMBASSY NEW DELHI

AMEMBASSY OSLO

AMEMBASSY OTTAWA

AMEMBASSY ROME

AMEMBASSY STOCKHOLM

AMEMBASSY THE HAGUE

AMEMBASSY TOKYO

UNCLAS PARIS 02466

E. O. 11652: N/A

TAGS: ETEL, IMCO, XT, UR, IN, CA, JA, LI, FR

SUBJ: INMARSAT--PATENT AND TECHNICAL INFORMATION
POLICY

REF: STATE 18395

1. WHEN PARA 3 REFTEL INFO WAS CONVEYED TO LOUET OF
FOREIGN MINISTRY AS INSTRUCTED JAN. 26, HE
EXPRESSED DISAPPOINTMENT USG PERSISTING IN ADHERENCE
UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 02 PARIS 02466 262216Z

TO WHAT GOF CONSIDERED FAR MORE RESTRICTIVE POSITION

THAN THAT EMBODIED IN INTELSAT AGREEMENT. LOUET SAID THAT WITHIN GOF THE USG POSITION HAD BEEN DEBATED AT SOME LENGTH AND GOF CONTINUED FAVOR INTELSAT FORMULA. LOUET SAID THAT WHILE HE COULD UNDERSTAND HOW USG MIGHT FAVOR RESTRICTION ON DISSEMINATION OF TECHNOLOGY BY US CONTRACTOR IN PROJECT THAT MIGHT BE FINANCED FROM DOD FUNDS, FOR EXAMPLE, HE FAILED SEE JUSTIFICATION FOR PLACING RESTRICTION ON SUCH DISSEMINATION IF CONTRACTOR'S WORK WAS FINANCED UNDER INMARSAT.

2. LOUET SAID THAT IN ALL FRANKNESS GOF WAS CONCERNED LEST USG POSITION ON THIS POINT MIGHT REFLECT MORE RESTRICTIVE ATTITUDE ACROSS THE BOARD WITH REGARD TO SHARING OF TECHNOLOGY.

3. ACTION REQUESTED: WOULD BE HELPFUL HAVE FULLER DETAIL ON RATIONALE UNDERLYING USG POSITION, BOTH FOR OUR OWN BACKGROUND AND, AS APPROPRIATE, FOR SHARING WITH FRENCH. ANY REASSURANCE WE COULD GIVE LOUET ON POINT MADE PARA 2 ABOVE WOULD ALSO BE APPRECIATED.
RUSH

UNCLASSIFIED

NNN

Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: X
Capture Date: 01 JAN 1994
Channel Indicators: n/a
Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
Concepts: MARINE TRANSPORTATION, COMMUNICATION SATELLITES, MEETINGS, FOREIGN POLICY POSITION
Control Number: n/a
Copy: SINGLE
Draft Date: 26 JAN 1976
Decaption Date: 01 JAN 1960
Decaption Note:
Disposition Action: n/a
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Authority: n/a
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment:
Disposition Date: 01 JAN 1960
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1976PARIS02466
Document Source: CORE
Document Unique ID: 00
Drafter: n/a
Enclosure: n/a
Executive Order: N/A
Errors: N/A
Film Number: D760030-0007
From: PARIS
Handling Restrictions: n/a
Image Path:
ISecure: 1
Legacy Key: link1976/newtext/t19760121/aaaaaskd.tel
Line Count: 83
Locator: TEXT ON-LINE, ON MICROFILM
Office: ACTION EB
Original Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
Original Handling Restrictions: n/a
Original Previous Classification: n/a
Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Page Count: 2
Previous Channel Indicators: n/a
Previous Classification: n/a
Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Reference: 76 STATE 18395
Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED
Review Authority: blochd0
Review Comment: n/a
Review Content Flags:
Review Date: 22 JUN 2004
Review Event:
Review Exemptions: n/a
Review History: RELEASED <22 JUN 2004 by schwenja>; APPROVED <10 NOV 2004 by blochd0>
Review Markings:

Margaret P. Grafeld
Declassified/Released
US Department of State
EO Systematic Review
04 MAY 2006

Review Media Identifier:
Review Referrals: n/a
Review Release Date: n/a
Review Release Event: n/a
Review Transfer Date:
Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a
Secure: OPEN
Status: NATIVE
Subject: INMARSAT--PATENT AND TECHNICAL INFORMATION POLICY
TAGS: ETEL, XT, UR, IN, CA, JA, LI, FR, US, IMCO
To: STATE
Type: TE
Markings: Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 04 MAY 2006